REMARKS

As amended, claim 1 calls for a telephone in which a baseband processor is used in place of an applications processor to process a telephone call if a characteristic of an attempt to make an emergency call is detected. For example, if the numbers 911 are detected and the applications processor does not respond within a period of time, the emergency call is completed anyway.

The cited reference simply provides a time out and, if a time out is reached, one processor acts in place of another processor. Claim 1 differs in that two criteria must be met. Not only must there be some time out, which may shorter than a normal time out to replace the processor, but there also must be a detection of a characteristic of an emergency call. No reference suggests detecting a characteristic of an emergency call in this context and, therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

On a similar analysis, reconsideration of the other claims, in view of the amendments made to those claims, is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: <u>November 12, 2007</u>

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057-2631
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation